THE MYSORE REPRESENTATIVE ASSEMBLY OF 1908.

GENERAL SUBJECTS.

LEGISLATION.

(Nos. 1 to 30.)

SUBJECT No. 1.

(*a*) Representation of all the three Divisions of the State in the Legislative Council.

(*b*) Representation of each district in the Legislative Council.

(*c*) The power of Government to reject elected candidates.

(*a*) Messrs. K. Shankaranarayana Rao, Nagar Sabha, S. R. Balakrishna Rao, Shimoga Municipality, Rangaiengar, Venkatakrishniah, Hassan Ali Beg, Madappa, Mysore City Municipality, Yagaiyana Krishnappa, Yagaiyana Subba Rao and A. Narasimha Iyengar, Sagar Taluk.

(*b*) Messrs. S. Sitaramiah, Shimoga Municipality, Y. Rama Rao, Tumkur Municipality, Krishna Murthi Rao, Magadi Taluk, and Kesavaiengar, Belur Taluk.

(*c*) Mr. M. K. Krishna Murti Rao, Magadi Taluk.

Summary of discussion.—(*a*) Local interests can be better represented in the Legislative Council, if, instead of allowing only two members to be elected to the Legislative Council from the Eastern and Western Divisions three members, one for each of the three civil divisions, Nandidrug, Ashtagram and Nagar, are allowed to be elected by the Representative Assembly.

(*b*) Each District should be allowed to elect a member to the Legislative Council.

(*c*) The Government should not have the power to reject a candidate elected by the Representative Assembly.

In reply to an enquiry by the Dewan the members stated that all the members of the Representative Assembly should vote for the several candidates and that the residential qualification should apply only to the candidates.

Reply of Government.—(*a*) and (*b*) Noted.

(*c*) The Dewan said that the Government desired to extend the elective system as far as possible, but until the Representative Assembly gained sufficient experience and was in a position to exercise the privilege rightly it was necessary that Government should retain the power to reject an elected candidate.

SUBJECT No. 2.

Nomination of a Mahomedan member to the Legislative Council from the Mahomedan Members of the Representative Assembly.

Mr. Moulvi Dervish Peer, Anjuman-i-Himayath Islam, Mysore.

Withdrawn.

SUBJECT No. 3.

The agenda of business of the Legislative Council meetings to be published before-hand.

Mr. Shankaranarayana Rao, Nagar Sabha.

Summary of discussion.—The agenda of business to be transacted at every meeting of the Legislative Council should be published at least one week before the meeting at which the subjects are to be discussed. This will give the public an idea of the work to be done and will give

them an opportunity of expressing their opinion on matters of public importance in time.

The member referred to the passing of the Newspapers Bill without previous notice to the public, but it was explained that this had been done in the interests of the State under the power to suspend the rules of business reserved in the Legislative Council Regulation and Rules.

Reply of Government.—Noted.

SUBJECT No. 4.

Village Offices Regulation.
(*a*) Provision for widows and minors.
(*b*) Appeals to Government.

(*a*) Mr. G. Srinivasa Murti, Hassan Taluk.
(*b*) Mr. Hucheeregowda, Gubbi Taluk.

Summary of discussion.—(*a*) It is necessary to make provision in the Regulation for the maintenance of the widows and minor sons of hakdar patels and shanbhogs out of the potgi. Government should reconsider the matter and make the necessary provision in the bill.

(*b*) Appeals should lie to Government against the appellate orders of the Deputy Commissioner and the Revenue Commissioner instead of their orders being final.

Reply of Government.—(*a*) The matter has been exhaustively discussed and the Regulation finally passed and it is impossible to reconsider the question now.

(*b*) Noted for consideration.

SUBJECT No. 5.

Village Officers.

Mr. Lingegowda, Mysore Taluk.

Summary of discussion.—In the Village Offices Regulation the Patel, Shanbhog, Toti, Talari and Nirganti are all classed as Village Officers. The Shanbhog and Patel may be designated as "Grama Adhikaris," and the others as "Grama Naukar."

Reply of Government.—Noted. The point will be kept in view in drafting the rules.

SUBJECT No. 6.

Weights and Measures Regulation.

Messrs. Chik Ramanna, Suryanaranappa, Sonne Gowda and Byre Gowda, Kolar Taluk; Rama Rao and H. V. Bhima Rao, Malur Taluk; Ommannaiya, Kolar District Board; D. Srikanta Rao and Gopala Char, Devanhalli Taluk; Ramaswamiah, Hoskote Taluk; Veerappa Raddi, Bangalore Taluk; Nanjunda Setty, Maddagiri Taluk; Agali Venkataramiah, Sira Taluk; Ramanuja Iyengar, Gubbi Taluk; Parvatappa, Holalkere Taluk; Srinivas Sitaram Kulkarni, Harihar Sub-Taluk; Kesva Iyengar, Belur Taluk; S. Seetharamiah and S. R. Balakrishna Rao, Shimoga Municipality; Shankar Narayan Rao, Nagar Sabha; Lakshman Rao and Bhaskar Rao, Sorab Taluk; Shamanna Udapa, Shimoga District Beard; M. Subba Rao, Heggaddevankote Taluk; Amble Anniah Pandit, Yedatore Taluk; Linge Gowda, Madappa and Kodandapani Chetty, Mysore Taluk; Krishna Char, Seringapatam Taluk; B. Narasinga Rao, Mysore District Board; Rangaiengar, Venkatakrishniah, Hassan Ali Beg and Madappa, Mysore City Municipality.

Summary of discussion.—Rules under the Weights and Measures Regulation may be passed early to ensure uniformity in the State.

Reply of Government.—The matter is under consideration and the rules will be passed early.